

~~SECRET~~

Attachment 1: CIA Letter ORD-0143-95; Dtd 31 Jan 95; Subject: Request for Military Liaison Support

tear line

~~SECRET~~

ORD-0143-95

31 JAN 1995

MEMORANDUM FOR: Associate Deputy Director for Military Affairs, DO

FROM:

[Redacted]

Director of Research and Development, DS&T

SUBJECT: Request For Military Liaison Support

1. We have been tasked by Congress to transfer to CIA a sensitive program currently underway at DIA. In accordance with Congressional direction, it also is necessary to conduct a retrospective evaluation of this program. Five years ago the Army Research Institute (ARI) tasked the National Research Council (NRC) to conduct a program evaluation on one of its own programs. This Army program involved "new age" training techniques directed at enhancing human performance. During review of the Army program, NRC considered some of the core issues we are likely to encounter in evaluating the DIA program.

2. We would like to contact ARI in order to determine the success of the NRC program evaluation and to gain better insight as to how to conduct our own review. We would also like to know if ARI further pursued its training program and what results might have been obtained. In addition, it might be useful to seek participation by appropriate personnel at ARI in the review of the DIA program. The original requester of the 1985 ARI study was Edgar M. Johnson, Technical Director of ARI. George Lawrence served as the ARI liaison to the NRC Committee on Techniques for the Enhancement of Human Performance. We would like to contact these two individuals directly.

(original signed 31 Jan 95)

[Redacted]

CL BY [Redacted]
DECL OADR
DRV FRM Multiple
All Secret

~~SECRET~~

tear line

~~SECRET~~

SG1I

SG1I

SG1B

~~SECRET~~

Attachment 1: CIA Letter ORD-0143-95; Dtd 31 Jan 95; Subject: Request for Military Liaison Support

tear line

~~SECRET~~

SUBJECT: Request for Military Liaison Support

SG1I
SG1B

DS&T/ORD/EPG/[redacted] (26 Jan 95)

Distribution: (ORD-0143-95)

Orig. - Addressee

1 - O/DIR/ORD

1 - EPG Chrono File

1 - [redacted]

1 - ISC Ames for logging

SG1I

~~SECRET~~

tear line

SG1I

OMA/FPG/T/[redacted] 06 Feb 95

Distribution:

1 - Original to Addressee

1 - [redacted] RM: 2B21, OHB - via Electronic Distribution

1 - [redacted] RM: 7E17, OHB - via Electronic Distribution

1 - [redacted] RM: 3D00, OHB - via Electronic Distribution

1 - [redacted] RM: [redacted], Ames Bldg - via Electronic Distribution

SG1B

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMO FOR RECORD:

The point-of-contact for this issue is [redacted] DST/ORD/EPG, Rm. [redacted], Ames Bldg, on
CISVN [redacted]

SG1
SG1B

4

SG1

2. There are some questions that I will need answered in order to finish the memo. If you could Lotus Notes your answers to me at [redacted] @ DO, I would appreciate it.

2.a. Is there a letter or message or something from Congress that is the authority for the tasking to DIA? For the transfer to CIA? Need title, date, from-to, etc.

2.b. Any references for the ARI program review tasking of NRC five years ago?

2.c. Any US Army tasking or other references?

2.d. Name of the program...real name or unclassified NICKNAME? Other names if referred to differently by DIA, CIA, USA, etc.

2.e. Has your office or the Agency made any informal contacts or coordination with DIA, USA, ARI, NRC or any DoD agency concerning this issue? If so, who, when, results.

2.f. Any costs associated with this issue [costs of research documentation, computer software, etc.] that will need to be reimbursed to DoD in accordance with the Economy Act? If so, who in ORD certifies that the Agency will reimburse for all costs associated with this issue?

2.g. Anyone else or any other Agency that you want to contact?

2.h. Any special security/restricted handling concerns?

2.i. Any DoD cover involved, risks?

2.j. Any detailees, TDYers needed from DoD?

2.k. Anything else that would be helpful to DoD in locating/contacting principals, making legal and policy decisions, etc.?

3. I will incorporate the answers into a new draft memo and coordinate it with you before sending it out. Security wise, Focal Point memos to the DoD stay within compartmented Focal

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

Point Channels within DoD, in case your concerned about the number of people that might get access.

4. I pulled your name as the poc, if this is not so, please send/give this to the appropriate individual in ORD and let me know whom that is. If you have any questions, you can Lotus notes or call me on

Thanks,

TOM

P.S. Since we have not worked a Focal Point issue with you before, I have attached the minutes to a recent Focal Point meeting FYI that will give you some insight into what the Focal Point System is and why we must use it. Hopefully it will not put you to sleep to quickly. Anyway, please share it with any interested coworkers, or coworkers that need it-interested or not. Additional information can be found in Agency



Attachment..... JANMTG.DOC

CC:



DO

~~SECRET~~